60427-218 2000P09005US01-7802

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application:

Karell

RECEIVED

Serial No.:

09/844,938

CENTRAL FAX CENTER

Filed:

04/27/2001

MOV 9 5 2003

Group Art Unit:

2833

Examiner:

Ta, Tho Dac

For:

ELECTRIC CONNECTION FOR FUEL INJECTORS

OFFICIAL

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION

Box AF Commissioner for Patents P. O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

This paper is responsive to the Final Office Action mailed on September 12, 2003.

There is no anticipation. The Romann, et al. reference never discusses nor discloses any form of piercing through an insulating layer as claimed.

Moreover, Applicant respectfully disagrees with the Examiner's statement that it "is well known in the electrical connector art, the term 'crimping' includes the steps of piercing and penetrating through an insulation covering on an electrical conductor." The term "crimping" as used in the Romann, et al. reference does not include such piercing. Instead, the Romann, et al. reference describes crimping as solderless squeezing.

The Romann, et al. reference distinguishes between the printed circuit board arrangement and insulated cables. In the paragraph of column 4, lines 24-44, the

11/05/2003 WED 14:14 FAX 12489888363 Carlson, Gaskey & Olds

 $\square 002/003$

60427-218 2000P09005US01-7802

Romann, et al. reference clearly distinguishes between the arrangements shown in the drawings and one where individually insulated cables are used. embodiments shown in the drawings cannot be interpreted as the Examiner interprets them. That is, the printed circuit board embodiments shown in the drawings cannot be considered to be teaching insulated coverings over wires. Interpreting the drawings in that manner goes directly against the express teachings of the Romann, et al. reference.

If the Examiner is now contending that there would be a rejection under 35 U.S.C. §103 based upon Romann, et al. combined with U.S. Patent No. 4,832,620, the claims are still allowable. Neither of these two patents discloses or suggests picroing through an insulating layer as recited in Applicant's claims. The Examiner has not established a prima facie case of obviousness (assuming that is the intention of the remarks in paragraph 3 of the most recent Office Action).

This case is in condition for allowance.

Respectfully submitted,

CARLSON, GASKEY & OLDS

David J. Gaskey Registration No. 37, 139 400 W. Maple Rd., Stc. 350 Birmingham, MI 48009 (248) 988-8360

Dated: November 5, 2003

60427-218 2000P09005US01-7802

CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the Patent and Trademark Office (Fax No. (703) 872-9319) on November 5/2003.

Theresa M. Palmateer

N/\Clients\SIEMENS\AIR FUEL MODULES\\\ip00218\\PATENT\\\Request for Reconsideration 11-5-03.doc